COMMITTEE: GEDRC
DATE: 28 September 2016, 2:00-3:00 pm.
LOCATION: Associate Dean's Conference Room, Crawford 508

MEETING CALLED ORDER: 2:01pm

ATTENDANCE: Matthew Ruane, Christopher Durie, Cecilia Knoll, Alan Rosiene, Maria Lavooy,
                    Scott Benjamin

The committee approved the minutes from the April 19, 2016 meeting.

The GEDRC committee is still looking for representation from COE, COA and a replacement for COS.
The committee then began to discuss the importance of incorporating critical thinking measures into the
current curriculum – but first, there needs to be a unified definition of critical thinking.

UGCC update – Under the new structure of assessment, M. Ruane will become a non-voting member of
this committee.   There has been a review of the core curriculum and potential for revamping of the core.
Some confusion currently exists between the duties of the General Education Committee and any
overlapping with the UGCC. The charge of the UGCC is to discuss the general educational core –
modification of the program is at UGCC online.

The committee reviewed our current ranking among schools across the country. The discussion followed
in which we compared our core model with those of other schools in our peer ground. As a result of this
discussion, the committee discussed that we are somewhat lighter on the general education core in total
credit hours than many of our higher performing peers.

First- we need to see new outcome measures (7 in total)
Second – what would these outcomes be:
UGCC needs to figure out how to measure the outcomes once they are established.
The challenge of this is to balance University General Education with a technical education.
HUM program – was redone recently and is up for approval
FOR EACH of the measures…look at the results, is it working or does it need to be changed.
- For example, continuous improvements – are we implementing the correct measures of
  continuous improvements.
- Have we been making the goals (targets) or are we failing the measures.
- Should target be increased or should we replace the measure, or we don't have enough data.
- We can adjust changes to targets in Weave.
- Use – Blooms taxonomy in order to evaluate the measures.

Next meeting will be early November.

Meeting was adjourned at 2:52pm